

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

---

In Re:

Chapter 11

Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc.

Case File No.: 11-42834 (NCD)

Debtor,

---

**RESPONSE OF DEBTOR REPRESENTATIVE, NAOMI ISAACSON,  
TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

---

Naomi Isaacson, Debtor's representative, hereby responds to the Order to Show Cause as follows:

1. I am the President of the Debtor, Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc. Presently, the matter is assigned to Minnesota Bankruptcy Judge Nancy Dreher. Colin Kreuziger represents the Office of the United States Trustee in this matter. Nauni Manty is the appointed Chapter 7 Trustee. Following a secret hearing, Nancy Dreher issued an order by default. Debtor filed a motion to vacate said illegal order. The Motion to Vacate outlined the reasons for said request. Instead of vacating the illegal order, Nancy Dreher issued an Order to Show Cause as to why I, as Debtor's representative, should not be sanctioned. The response follows.

2. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statement. The response is as follows:

*"Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty had actually scheduled the hearing with Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, for 1:00 p.m. but sent notice to the Debtor that the hearing was set for 1:30 p.m."*

The above statement is true and correct and certainly not made for any improper purpose. Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty filed her Motion for Contempt along with Notice of Hearing on November 2, 2011. Both the court filing confirmation notice and Trustee Manty's Notice of

Hearing stated that the hearing time was November 17, 2011 at **1:30 p.m.** (See Docket No. 187). All interested parties in the case, including Colin Kreuziger received the same notice setting the hearing time for 1:30. On November 17<sup>th</sup>, counsel for the Debtor was advised by the judge's clerk that Trustee Manty had in fact scheduled the hearing with the Court for **1:00 p.m.** The statement that was made was based upon the facts as presented by Nancy Dreher's clerk. Debtor would have no way of knowing if her clerk was lying but reasonably relied upon the statements made to Debtor's counsel.

With respect to the reference to Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, that statement is indeed true and accurate. In referring to Nancy Dreher as being a "Catholic judge", it is not referring to being a member of the Roman Catholic Church. It is referring to a mentality and an adherence to a universal creed of White Supremacy. "Catholic" also refers to membership in the universal Christian faith. All Christians have a creed: a belief in the father, son, and holy ghost. They believe that no one has rights to "heaven" except through their dirty book. They hold the patent to "god" and can go to every nation on earth to force their vomit down everyone's throat. Nancy Dreher cleverly asserts that she is not "Catholic" in the sense that she claims not to be a member of the Roman Catholic Church, but she cannot deny her "catholic" mentality or catholic Christian origin which dictates a person's entire life. Only "White Christians" have a right to life, and whether or not any minority has any rights is in the White Christians' sole discretion. Even now, even though Nancy Dreher was clearly wrong in holding a secret hearing, she still stubbornly asserts she is right. That is the catholic mentality of a Christian. Nancy Dreher certainly is not Hindu, Muslim, Jewish, or Bhuddist. Nor is she an atheist. If she were an atheist, at least one could expect to see some justice.

Nancy Dreher's actions speak louder than words as to who she is, what she is, and what she represents.

Thus, every single word in the aforementioned statement is true. No cause for sanction exists.

3. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*“Debtor seriously questions Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty’s motive in informing Debtor of the wrong time for the hearing. Was it to make the job of the black-robed bigot that much easier, so rather than forcing the Court to hear the case on its merits, the matter can just go by default? Debtor is suspicious of the Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty’s motive, given her track record of lies, deceit, treachery, and connivery, particularly, since the Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty, the U.S. Trustee Colin Kreuziger, and Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, have been communicating with each other about this Debtor on an ex parte basis.”*

Debtor was sent a notice that a hearing was to take place at 1:30 p.m. on November 17, 2011. Debtor's attorney appeared only to discover that the hearing was already over. Nancy Dreher was on the bench hearing another matter and Nauni Manty and Colin Kreuziger had already left. Debtor was never notified of that hearing and was given no opportunity to respond. All the communication was carried out in the absence of Debtor and without Debtor's having received any prior notice. Therefore, all such communication was in fact, *ex parte*. The fact that Nancy Dreher, Colin Kreuziger, and Nauni Manty all appeared at 1:00 p.m. demonstrates the conspiracy among the trio. Had there not been a conspiracy and secret discussions among them, how would they all know to appear at 1:00 p.m.?

Nancy Dreher knows full well who the parent company of this Debtor is. Nancy Dreher knows the president of the company is a businessman from India. In fact, Nancy Dreher's husband is from the racial discrimination headquarters for the United States, which is a place

called Shawano, Wisconsin. I am the CEO of the parent company of this Debtor, the Dr. R.C. Samanta Roy Institute of Science & Technology, Inc. ("SIST"). SIST is headquartered in Shawano, Wisconsin and was founded by a businessman from India. For over a decade, the company has faced a severe racial discrimination campaign which is headed by Lorna Marquardt, the Mayor of Shawano, who is of Catholic and Lutheran origin. The campaign to destroy the financial power of the company has included robbing its properties, vandalizing its properties, interfering with vendors and lenders, taking away our business licenses, removing our membership with the local Chamber of Commerce, propagating slander and lies against the company and its personnel through her local media and ultimately national media, and physically harming and attempting to murder the company's personnel. Nancy Dreher is no innocent gavel-holder.

Would Nancy Dreher have proceeded with the secret hearing if this company were not associated with a businessman from India? If this were one of the hundreds of bankruptcy filings by the Catholic Church to avoid paying their victims, would Nancy Dreher have proceeded with the hearing? If this were the infamous Pioneer Press bankruptcy case, would Nancy Dreher have proceeded with the hearing? Would Nancy Dreher have ordered a priest to appear for a hearing and produce records? In fact, would Nancy Dreher have proceeded with the hearing for any company headed by a member of her White Supremacy group? The obvious answer is "NO". One does not have to be genius to say what it is. Whether you call it bigotry, prejudice, or intolerance, it all reeks.

Nauni Manty's actions have been replete with lies, deceit, treachery, and connivery since the day she was appointed. She has made endless prevarications about me as the representative of the Debtor. Nauni Manty has lied to the Court countless times that I have not produced

certain documents when she in fact has them in her possession. She has donated all the properties of the estate for pennies on the dollar to members of the Catholic Church. In fact, on many of the properties, the Debtor had paid more than it borrowed. But, let's ask the obvious question. Why is Nancy Dreher running like a mother hen to protect Nauni Manty? If they are not working in conspiracy, what does Nancy Dreher know about it? It is obvious that just because Nancy Dreher wears a black robe and carries a pen, she is using per power to suppress and squelch anything that I say which exposes their illegal conduct.

Lastly, the paragraph raises a couple of questions and voices Debtor's suspicions about the case. Does Debtor not have a right to ask questions? Does Debtor not have a right to voice what it feels? What happened to freedom of speech? Apparently, that is not a right afforded to minorities. This again is another demonstration of the "catholic" mentality of Nancy Dreher.

In summary, every statement contained in the aforementioned paragraph is true and accurate.

4. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*" U.S. Trustee Colin Kreuziger, Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty, and Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, are of the same race and religion, and their track record demonstrates their conspiracy and deceitful practices to hurt the Debtor. Even though all documents have been produced, Jesuitess Nauni Manty keeps repeating the same lie that records are missing."*

Debtor has seen throughout this entire proceeding that Nancy Dreher, Colin Kreuziger, and Nauni Manty, all have the same bigoted catholic White Supremacy mentality. They all are above the law. They can hold secret hearings and have *ex parte* communications in conspiracy. If they were not all working in conspiracy, then why did Nancy Dreher issue an order after their secret hearing when she knew why Debtor's attorney was not present? After she issued the

Order, why did she refuse to vacate it? Instead of admitting that she made a mistake, Nancy Dreher then issues an Order to Show Cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for exposing their illegal conduct. Because an Indian businessman is associated with this business, Nancy Dreher apparently feels she does not need to follow the law.

As stated earlier, to be blunt, Nauni Manty is a liar. Debtor has produced all the records in its possession. Like a mindless robot, Nauni Manty keeps repeating the same prevarication that records are missing. Since the unfortunate day that Nauni Manty was appointed, she has been a Jesuitess, meaning a zealous advocate of her bigoted catholic White Supremacy beliefs. Who gave this character the right to freeze the accounts of companies totally unrelated to this Debtor? Would she do the same thing if this were a Catholic Church bankruptcy? Would she be doing the same thing to any other company not owned by a minority?

Like the prior statements, these statements are true and were not made for any improper purpose.

5. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*“Across the country the court systems and particularly the Bankruptcy Court in Minnesota, are composed of a bunch of ignoramus, bigoted Catholic beasts that carry the sword of the church. Judge Dennis O’Brien is a Jesuit, Judge Nancy Dreher is a Catholic Knight Witch Hunter, U.S. Trustee Colin Kreuziger is a priest’s boy, and the infamous Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty is a Jesuitess.”*

As discussed *supra*, this Debtor’s parent company has faced a severe racial discrimination campaign which is headed by Lorna Marquardt, the Mayor of Shawano, Wisconsin. Shawano is a small town located about thirty-five miles west of Green Bay. The campaign to destroy the financial power of the company has included robbing its properties, vandalizing its properties, interfering with vendors and lenders, taking away our business

licenses, propagating slander and lies against the company and its personnel through her local media and ultimately national media, and physically harming and attempting to murder the company's personnel, myself included. In every court I have encountered, not a single judge has taken a stand against the evils being perpetrated by Lorna Marquardt. This includes Nancy Dreher. In fact, some of them like Nancy Dreher, have gone out of their way to find a way to make Debtor and its affiliates guilty. Many judges, just like Nancy Dreher, have found one excuse or another to find Debtor or its affiliates in default so they do not have to face reality. So, Nancy Dreher decided to have a secret hearing.

Dennis O'Brien is an avowed Jesuit. Nauni Manty was his judicial clerk. It is no wonder that Nauni Manty's motion for turnover was granted before the hearing even began. This so-called Turnover Order is the papal bull that Nancy Dreher is now using to find me in contempt. Dennis O'Brien should have recused himself from the case based upon his relationship with Nauni Manty. But, rather than that, the dirty Jesuit issued his papal bull first and then recused himself.

What is the purpose of ruling against the Indian businessman? The answer is bias, prejudice, and bigotry. They all belong believe in the same dirty catholic creed: we are superior; we are better; and we hold the patent to life. They all believe in the words of Lorna Marquardt, "We are going to chase the dot-head back to his mud-hut." Sincerely they do carry the sword of the church. That is the same mentality and sword that has been used to slaughter hundreds of millions innocent people throughout the centuries. Adherence to that catholic creed has caused the blood of the innocent to flow like a river. Look for yourself at the atrocities committed by people with the catholic mentality: <http://one-evil.org>; [www.vaticanassassins.org](http://www.vaticanassassins.org);

and [www.vatican-crimes.us](http://www.vatican-crimes.us). What case have you ever seen where a judge defends the minority? The minority is always guilty.

The actions of the foursome, Dennis O'Brien, Nancy Dreher, Colin Kreuziger, and Nauni Manty reek of injustice, conspiracy, bigotry and prejudice. Their actions speak for themselves as to who they are and what they believe in. Since Nancy Dreher has decided defend the actions of court systems across the country against this Debtor, I suppose she believes she is omnipotent and infallible also.

In summary, every statement made is true and accurate. No cause for sanctions exists.

6. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*"Debtor and its representatives have never experienced any justice at the hands of these inquisitors. Since Debtor has been vocal in exposing their dirty deeds, these dirty Catholics have conspired together to hurt Debtor."*

What justice has Debtor seen in this case? In March of 2011, Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc. filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization. Immediately after filing, Colin Kreuziger from the Office of the United States Trustee, filed a motion claiming that the bankruptcy filing was done in bad faith and requested that the case be converted from a Chapter 11 to Chapter 7. As a representative of the United States Justice Department, Colin Kreuziger is supposed to be impartial and neutral. Instead of being fair and impartial, he has been the most bigoted, discriminatory, bestial catholic. Colin Kreuziger's source of information is outrageous negative propaganda disseminated by his kind. For years, his kind has used its media, to saturate Minnesota, Wisconsin, and the entire country with false, slanderous and defamatory negative propaganda against the Debtor's parent company, SIST, and its personnel to fulfill their agenda.

Colin Kreuziger of the United States Trustee's Offices waltzes into the courtroom with a foot high stack of this propaganda against the Debtor, its parent company, and its personnel.

The case was assigned to an avowed Jesuit, Bankruptcy Judge Dennis O'Brien. Jesuit O'Brien refused to allow Debtor to have an evidentiary hearing. Debtor was not permitted to present any evidence in its defense. In fact, **on the day of the conversion**, Jesuit O'Brien in conspiracy with an IRS agent from St. Paul named Patricia Patton, and Colin Kreuziger of the U.S. Trustee's Office, submitted a falsified affidavit claiming that SIST's tax exempt status had been revoked. That made conversion from an 11 to a 7 possible. On the day of the hearing, Jesuit O'Brien robotically marched into the courtroom spewed forth "this case is hereby converted." So, it was. The illegality of the conversion is incomprehensible.

Without further ado, Nauri Manty, Dennis O'Brien's judicial clerk, was immediately appointed as the Chapter 7 Trustee since it was pre-planned way ahead of time. Immediately Nauri Manty froze not just this Debtor's accounts, but all of SIST and its affiliates' accounts, even though it was clear she had no legal authority to do so. When calls were made to her office, she was not available, could not be found, and never returned phone calls. Hundreds of checks were returned as "refer to maker". SIST and affiliates paid thousands of dollars in return check fees due to her illegal actions.

Since the date of conversion, June 16, 2011, all the assets and adversary actions of the Debtor which were worth tens of millions of dollars have been liquidated for pennies and donated by Nauri Manty with the blessings of Jesuit Judge O'Brien to members of the Catholic Church. Investigate for yourself where the Debtor's assets went and what happened to the tens of millions of dollars of assets of this company.

Following the liquidation of all the assets of the company, Nauni Manty filed a motion for turnover of Debtor's records with Judge O'Brien, the dastardly Jesuit. Despite our valid legal objections, the Turnover Order was signed without a proper hearing. Thereafter, in compliance with Jesuit O'Brien's bogus Turnover Order, as the President of Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc., I produced every piece of paper in the Debtor's records.

Since I am the President of Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc. which is affiliated with an Indian businessman, they have been planning out how to hurt me, especially since I have been vocal in exposing their dirty deeds against SIST. So, after the records were all produced, Nauni Manty filed a motion seeking a finding of contempt and incarceration for failure to produce phantom documents and documents which she already has. Nauni Manty's motion for contempt alleged that documents were missing, even though she could not point to any specific document which was missing. The company was not even in business for three months, before the case was converted. As an intelligent person, ask yourself how many records is a company that is only in business for three months going to have? How can some vague statement as "we know records are missing" be sufficient to find anyone in contempt, unless there is some conspiracy due to common race and religion? The truth of the matter is that legally it is not possible. Since I publicly exposed the fact that O'Brien is really a Jesuit working undercover, after the motion for contempt was filed, O'Brien, quickly recused himself from the case. The case was then reassigned to Nancy Dreher.

The hearing on the motion for contempt was set for November 17, 2011 at **1:30** p.m. According to the Certificate of Service, both Debtor's attorney and Colin Kreuziger of the U.S. Trustee's Office received the same notice which indicated the hearing was set for 1:30 p.m. Obviously Nancy Dreher, Colin Kreuziger, and Nauni Manty met together to discuss how to

proceed. Thus they all secretly decided they would have the hearing at **1:00 p.m.** rather than **1:30 p.m.** when Debtor might be present. The hearing started promptly at 1:00 p.m. and was held as expeditiously as possible before Debtor or its attorney would appear.

Debtor's attorney arrived at 1:20 p.m., ten minutes prior to the scheduled hearing time. Upon her arrival, Dreher's clerk informed Debtor's attorney that the hearing was already over, and Nauni Manty and Colin Kreuziger had already left. Unless it was preplanned, how is it that Colin Kreuziger, Nauni Manty, and Dreher all appeared at 1:00 p.m., when the notice everyone received indicated the hearing was set for 1:30 p.m.? When Debtor's attorney heard that the hearing was already over, she protested to Dreher's clerk as to how the hearing could be held before the scheduled hearing time and showed the clerk the Notice which Debtor received setting the hearing for 1:30 p.m. Dreher's clerk informed Debtor's counsel that since there was some "confusion" about the hearing time, the matter was being continued to December 6, 2011. But, he did not tell her that the matter had gone by default.

Shockingly, however, the following day, November 18, 2011, even though Nancy Dreher knew of the feigned "confusion" regarding the hearing time, she issued an order **by default** that mandated my appearance on **December 6, 2011 at 3:00 p.m.** The only possible explanation is that this entire episode was preplanned. By 1:20 p.m., how could the hearing already be over and Dreher on the bench hearing another matter? I want the public to take knowledge of the kind of "justice" executed by Nancy Dreher. It is just like "justice" during medieval times.

Immediately after entry of the **Default Order**, Debtor asked Nancy Dreher to vacate her order, Nancy Dreher refused. First of all, the Default Order should never have been entered. Since it was, if she was sincere about the "confusion" regarding the hearing time,

she should have been quick to vacate the order. Since she did not, it only reinforces the conclusion that it was a conspiracy. Just because Nancy Dreher is a judge does not exempt her from following the law. She has just as much a duty to obey the law as I do as a legal professional and a citizen of the United States. This Order is illegal, unjust, unethical, and offends every sense of justice. It makes a mockery of our justice system to people in the United States and the whole world.

In Debtor's motion to vacate, Debtor exposed the conspiracy of the three catholics, Nancy Dreher, Nauni Manty, and Colin Kreuziger. Thereafter, out of personal malice, Nancy Dreher has issued this Order to Show Cause and warrant for my arrest. Apparently since the catholics are in control of the judiciary, the court system, the media, and every arm of government, Nancy Dreher must feel she is infallible also. I should bow down and worship such a bigot. I am an American. Americans bow down to no one but the law.

Thus, every statement made is supported and not made for any improper purpose. Sanctions are not appropriate.

7. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*“Both the Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty and the U.S. Trustee Colin Kreuziger appeared at 1:00 p.m. and both the Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty and the U.S. Trustee Colin Kreuziger ‘pretended’ to not know why Debtor’s counsel was not present for the hearing. Therefore, Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, proceeded with the hearing in Debtor’s absence and allowed the Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty to argue her case as to why Debtor is in violation of the Court’s Order for Turnover dated October 7, 2011.[...] When Debtor’s counsel arrived for hearing at 1:20 p.m., no other parties to the case were present, and the Court’s clerk informed Debtor’s counsel that the hearing had been held at 1:00 p.m. The Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty and the U.S. Trustee Colin Kreuziger had already come and gone. The Court’s clerk confirmed that the notice that was sent to Debtor indicated that the hearing was set for 1:30 p.m. but informed Debtor’s counsel that the matter had been continued to December 6, 2011.”*

Every single sentence in the statement made *supra*, is supported by the record. These statements are merely a recitation of facts. Since Nancy Dreher has determined that I need to show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for stating the facts, one can only conclude that she is attempting to squelch and suppress the truth. Not one word of the above paragraph is inaccurate. Thus, no cause for sanction exists.

8. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*“Shockingly, on November 18, 2011, however, Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, issued an Order that effectively already finds that Debtor is in violation of the October 7th Turnover Order. Such Order states Chapter 7 Trustee Nauni Manty is permitted to make a record at such hearing that meets the test for a finding of contempt. The November 18th Order further states that the Debtor representative is required to be present at the hearing. Given what these dirty Catholics are capable of and particularly since there is no law to protect the minority, Debtor is concerned about what their secret plans are for the December 6, 2011 hearing. Catholic deeds throughout the history have been bloody and murderous.”*

Despite the fact that Nancy Dreher knew why Debtor’s attorney was not present at their secret hearing, she went ahead and held the hearing anyway. She allowed Nauni Manty to argue her case for contempt. Listen for yourself to the transcript of this hearing. Nancy Dreher and Nauni Manty knew that I was under no obligation to appear at their hearing. So, by default, Nancy Dreher sneakily issues an order mandating my appearance. Based upon this illegal order, she has since issued a warrant for my arrest. Nancy Dreher and Nauni Manty are not innocent, naïve legal professionals. They are clever, dirty, and evil.

The fact that Nancy Dreher unabashedly issues an Order even after it has been brought to her attention that the hearing was prior to the scheduled time is shocking. After that, Nancy Dreher then has the audacity to refuse to vacate her illegal order. What else can Debtor say besides the fact that it is shocking. One would expect Nancy Dreher to at least show a façade of

legality. Literally, I am dumbfounded at the brashness of foursome, Nancy Dreher, Dennis O'Brien, Colin Kreuziger, and Nauri Manty, in their illegal activities in this case.

Catholic deeds throughout history have been bloody and murderous. An expose' of their great works would fill countless volumes. Look for yourself at their glories: <http://one-evil.org>; [www.vaticanassassins.org](http://www.vaticanassassins.org); and [www.vaticancrimes.us](http://www.vaticancrimes.us). Since Nancy Dreher has taken issue with the statement, once again it only confirms her catholic mentality.

Naturally, based upon their conduct, Debtor has reason to be concerned about what their plans are. But, according to Nancy Dreher, I do not even have the right to say I am concerned.

No cause for sanction exists.

9. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*“For Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, to issue such an Order when she knew that the Debtor was not present due to being intentionally misled by Chapter 7 Trustee Nauri Manty is unfathomable. One can only conclude that Nancy Dreher, the Catholic judge, is part of the conspiracy to deprive Debtor of its due process rights since she went ahead and issued an Order when she clearly knew the reason Debtor’s counsel was not present at the hearing.”*

The statements *supra* are statements of fact. They are true and accurate. No further response is warranted. Certainly they were not made for any improper purpose, and no cause for sanction exists.

10. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statements. The response is as follows:

*“Under normal circumstances, a Court would wait ten minutes in case some unfortunate mishap had befallen counsel to give her an opportunity to appear. What was the reason for the haste to hold this hearing? What secret discussions occurred during their secret*

*meeting? Debtor has filed numerous pleadings which outline in detail its response to the Chapter 7's Trustee Nauri Manty's motion which clearly document that Debtor has produced all the records in its possession. Debtor has a right to be heard on that issue. The entry of the order is illegal and in violation of Debtor's due process rights. In the interests of justice, this Order must be vacated."*

The statements *supra* are statements of fact. They are true and accurate. No further response is warranted. Certainly they were not made for any improper purpose, and no cause for sanction exists.

11. The Order to Show Cause demands that I show cause as to why I should not be sanctioned for the following statement. The response is as follows:

*"Given the track record of injustice in this case, it seems that Debtor will never see justice until the matter is addressed in an international court in Beijing, China."*

We better ask Nancy Dreher what exactly is wrong with this statement, and why I would be sanctioned for making such a statement. Obviously, Nancy Dreher thinks Chinese are low-grade and sub-human. Indians are subhuman. Africans are subhuman. In an era of global knowledge, who does Nancy Dreher think she is anyway? China has become a world superpower, financially and militarily. Nancy Dreher's actions are reminiscent of the Dark Ages, when the priests invited rabbis to a debate. Anything the rabbis said was called blasphemy, which was punishable by death. Nancy Dreher's actions clearly demonstrate her "catholic" mentality. It sounds like Nancy Dreher needs 100 hours of elimination of bias credits. If Nancy Dreher is ashamed of the statement then she should not sneak around and have secret meetings and secret hearings. Nancy Dreher should quietly vacate the Order rather than making a commotion and calling upon the Marshals. Let's have a hearing in accordance with the law and make decisions based upon law.

It is an ignominy for Nancy Dreher to be a judge when she has such a narrow-minded world view. In fact, I am so flabbergasted at Nancy Dreher's bigotry that it sounds like she took the Jesuit oath. Part of the Jesuit Oath states:

“I do further promise and declare, that I will have no opinion or will of my own, or any mental reservation whatever, even as a corpse or cadaver, but will unhesitatingly obey each and every command that I may receive from my superiors in the Militia of the Pope... I furthermore promise and declare that I will, when opportunity presents, make and wage relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do, to extirpate and exterminate them from the face of the whole earth; and that I will spare neither age, sex or condition; and that I will hang, burn, waste, boil, flay, strangle and bury alive these infamous heretics, rip up the stomachs and the wombs of their women and crush their infants heads against the walls, in order to annihilate forever their execrable race. That when the same cannot be done openly, I will secretly use the poisoned cup, the strangulating cord, the steel of the poniard or the leaden bullet, regardless of the honor, rank, dignity, or authority of the person or persons, whatever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by any agent of the Pope or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Faith, of the Society of Jesus.” — Edwin A. Sherman, *The Engineer Corps of Hell; or Rome's Sapper's and Miners*, Private Subscription, 1883, pp. 118-124.

The mere suggestion that I should be sanctioned for the aforementioned statement is dumbfounding. No cause for sanction exists.

11. In summary, I am speechless at seeing the injustice in this case.

Respectable people earn the respect of others by their actions. One does not earn respect by forcing it down someone's throat. From beginning to end, this has been a case of racial discrimination. Because I have taken a stand against the injustice being perpetrated against the businessman from India, Nancy Dreher is persecuting me. Let the world watch as this story of injustice unfolds. No cause for sanctions exists with respect to any statements made. The statements are true and accurate and were not made for any improper purpose.

12. This Debtor is owned by an entity which operates a school for the underprivileged in India. Nancy Dreher owes an apology to the government of India, the people of India, this Debtor, its parent company, its personnel, and all minorities for her bigotry. It is a shame that someone of Nancy Dreher's caliber wields a gavel in this day and age of globalism. Rather than me being sanctioned, Nancy Dreher's conduct should be investigated by the Senate Judiciary Committee. I will be submitting this file to the Senate Judiciary Committee for review of her racial discrimination and illegal order.

13. Once again, I demand that Nancy Dreher vacate her illegal order quietly, before the matter is submitted to foreign governments, foreign agencies and international media.

Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011

Naomi Isaacson



---

Naomi Isaacson  
President of Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

---

In Re: Chapter 11

Yehud-Monosson USA, Inc.

Case File No.: 11-42834 (NCD)

Debtor,

---

**UNSWORN CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Rebekah M. Nett, do hereby certify that on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011, a true and correct copy of the *Response of Debtor Representative, Naomi Isaacson to Order to Show Cause* were filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court on December 30, 2011, thereby generating electronic service upon the parties indicated below.

**CM/ECF:**

Jeff C Braegelmann on behalf of Defendant American Bank of St. Paul  
[jbraegelmann@gislason.com](mailto:jbraegelmann@gislason.com)

John E Brandt on behalf of Interested Party William Egan  
[jbrandt@murnane.com](mailto:jbrandt@murnane.com)

Roylene A Champeaux on behalf of Interested Party UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IRS  
[Roylene.Champeaux@usdoj.gov](mailto:Roylene.Champeaux@usdoj.gov), [karen.malikowski@usdoj.gov](mailto:karen.malikowski@usdoj.gov);  
[usamn.ecfbankruptcy@usdoj.gov](mailto:usamn.ecfbankruptcy@usdoj.gov); [darcie.boschee@usdoj.gov](mailto:darcie.boschee@usdoj.gov)

Michael S. Dove on behalf of Interested Party American Bank of St. Paul  
[mdove@gislason.com](mailto:mdove@gislason.com), [KGleisner@gislason.com](mailto:KGleisner@gislason.com); [JBurgau@gislason.com](mailto:JBurgau@gislason.com)

Michelle K. Dove on behalf of Trustee Nauni Manty  
[michelle@mantylaw.com](mailto:michelle@mantylaw.com), [carisa@mantylaw.com](mailto:carisa@mantylaw.com)

David Jon Hoiland on behalf of Interested Party Lubrication Technologies, Inc.  
[Hoilandesq@aol.com](mailto:Hoilandesq@aol.com), [vmailer@e-lawfirm.com](mailto:vmailer@e-lawfirm.com)

Michael J Iannacone on behalf of Creditor VERMILLION STATE BANK  
[mji@iannacone.com](mailto:mji@iannacone.com), [miannacone@ecf.epiqsystems.com](mailto:miannacone@ecf.epiqsystems.com); [knt@iannacone.com](mailto:knt@iannacone.com);  
[trustee@iannacone.com](mailto:trustee@iannacone.com)

Colin Kreuziger on behalf of U.S. Trustee US Trustee  
[Colin.Kreuziger@usdoj.gov](mailto:Colin.Kreuziger@usdoj.gov)

Nauni Jo Manty  
[ecf@mantylaw.com](mailto:ecf@mantylaw.com), [mn12@ecfcbis.com](mailto:mn12@ecfcbis.com)

Manty & Associates PA on behalf of Trustee Nauni Manty  
[ecf@mantylaw.com](mailto:ecf@mantylaw.com)

Tyler K Olson on behalf of Interested Party Tiger Peg Capital Corp.  
[tolson@oppenheimer.com](mailto:tolson@oppenheimer.com)

Randall L. Seaver  
[rseaver@fullerseaverramette.com](mailto:rseaver@fullerseaverramette.com), [rseaver@ecf.epiqsystems.com](mailto:rseaver@ecf.epiqsystems.com)

US Trustee  
[ustpregion12.mn.ecf@usdoj.gov](mailto:ustpregion12.mn.ecf@usdoj.gov)

Dated this 30<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

WESTVIEW LAW CENTER, PLC

          /e/ Rebekah M. Nett            
Rebekah M. Nett, 0299571  
1303 South Frontage Road, Suite 1  
Hastings, MN 55033  
*Attorney for Debtor*